

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE AGYT-017CIP2 3629 08/01/2003 Kalev Kask 10/633,109 EXAMINER 24353 01/26/2006 7590 **BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP** STANDLEY, STEVEN H 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303 1649

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/633,109	KASK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven H. Standley	1649
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 1649

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of identifying a protein phosphatase ١.

modulator, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.

II. Claims 14-19, drawn to a method of treating a disease, classified in class

514, subclass 1.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of

Inventions" in the M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different

methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to

constitute distinct inventions for the following reasons:

Groups I and II are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and

functionally, and are not required one for the other. Invention group I is a method of

identifying agents that modulate the activity of a protein tyrosine phosphatase by

contacting the agent with a purified or expressed protein tyrosine phosphatase and

NMDA receptor and measuring the tyrosine phosphorylation of the NMDA receptor.

Group II is a method of treating a disease by administering a modulator of protein

tyrosine phosphorylation. The inventions have different steps, different goals, and

different functions. Therefore a search and examination of the methods of group I and

Page 2

group II would constitute an undue burden, since the searches are entirely different and not coextensive, the classifications are different and the subject matter divergent.

Also, should applicant elect invention group II, two additional elections of species are required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: an agonist (of claim 17), an antagonist (of claim 18).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 'a modulator' of claim 14 is generic.

Also, should applicant elect invention group 'an agonist' of claim 17, an additional elections of species are required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: ischemic stroke, head trauma or brain injury; huntington's disease, spinocerebellar degeneration, motor neuron disease, epilepsy, neuropathic pain, chronic pain, alcohol tolerance, and depression (all of claim 17).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 'an agonist' of claim 17 is generic.

Should applicant elect invention group 'an antagonist' of claim 18, an additional elections of species are required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions: schizophrenia,

Application/Control Number: 10/633,109

Art Unit: 1649

Alzheimer's disease, dementia, psychosis, drug addiction and ethanol sensitivity (all of claim 18).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 'an antagonist' of claim 18 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1649

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Standley whose telephone number is (571) 272-3432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Standley, Ph.D.

1/05/86

LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER